

REGISTERED
SPEED POST



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF FINANCE
(DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)

8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre - I, Cuffe Parade,
Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/336/B/14-RA/65

Date of Issue: 10.04.2018

ORDER NO. 160/2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED 28.03.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA , PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri. Veeramani.

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport,
Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal No. C.Cus No. 1269/2014 dated 28.07.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai.



ORDER

This Revision application has been filed by Shri Veeramani (hereinafter referred to as the Applicant) against the Order-in-Appeal C. Cus. No. 1269/2014 dated 28.07.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.


2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the Applicant, an Indian national, was proceeding abroad on 16.01.2014 from Chennai. The applicant was intercepted in the departure by the Customs Officers and examination of his hand baggage resulted in recovery of Foreign currency equivalent to Rs. 75,000/-. As the Applicant was carrying Foreign Currency above the permissible limit as per the FEMA instructions, after due process of law it was confiscated absolutely by the Original Adjudicating Authority, under Section 113 (d), (e), (h) of the Customs Act 1962 read chapter 2.31 of the Export & Import Policy. A penalty of Rs. 7,500/- was imposed under Section 114(i) / 114 (iii) of the Customs Act. 1962.

3. Aggrieved by the order of the Original Adjudicating Authority, the Applicant filed an appeal before the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals). The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai, vide Order in Appeal C.Cti No. 1269/2014 dated 28.07.2014 rejected the Appeal.

4. Aggrieved by the order of the Commissioner (Appeals), the Applicant has filed the Revision Application on the following grounds that;

4.1 Order of the respondent is against law, weight of evidence circumstances and probabilities of the case; The Applicant had orally declared the currency to the officers and having seen the same the question of declaration does not arise; He comes to India occasionally and is not aware of the procedures and hence the currency could have been released without fine and penalty; the detection was not pursuant to an information ; There was no contumacious conduct on part of him but the conduct of a person ignorant of the law.

4.2 The Applicant further pleaded that discretionary power available under section 125 of the Customs Act should have been exercised and the currency should have been handed over to the person from whose possession it was recovered; Mere possession of Indian currency is not an offence as there was no mis-declaration of the Applicant; The Hon'ble Supreme Court has



in the case of Om Prakash vs Union of India states that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person for infringement of its provisions; That absolute confiscation is very harsh and unjustified;


4.3 Under the circumstances the Applicant has cited various assorted judgments in support of his case and prayed that the Hon'ble Revision Authority may please release the currency on payment of redemption fine and reduce the personal penalty sum of Rs. 7,500/- and thus render justice.

5. A personal hearing in the case was held on 07.03.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar attended the hearing he re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals where option for re-export of gold was allowed. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.

6. The Government has gone through the facts of the case. The Applicant has pleaded he was ignorant about the procedure and unaware that monies could not be taken out of India. Further, Government also notes that the Applicant is frequent visitor and a proper declaration was not submitted. Under the circumstances confiscation of the Currency is justified.

7. The applicant has submitted various judgements wherein the foreign currency attempted for export in contravention to Customs Law was released on payment of redemption fine with reduced penalty. The Applicant claims the currency and it is observed that there is no allegation of ingenious concealment of the currenc. Further he had orally declared the currency.

8. Government therefore holds that absolute confiscation of the foreign currency is harsh and not commensurate with the facts and circumstances of the case the applicant can be treated with a lenient view. Further, Government also notes that there are catena of Judgements which align with the view that the discretionary powers vested with the lower authorities under section 125 (1) of the Customs Act, 1962 have to exercise. This aspect also find force from the Judgement in the case of Hargovind Das vs Collector of Customs 1992(61) ELT 172 (SC), wherein the Apex court has held that a quasi judicial authority must exercise the discretionary powers under section 125 of the Customs Act 1962 and the same is mandatory.



8. Taking into consideration the foregoing discussion, Government sets aside the absolute confiscation in the Order in Appeal and allows redemption of the confiscated currency in lieu of fine. Government allows the impugned foreign currency equivalent to Rs. 75,000/- (Seventy Five thousand) to be released on payment of redemption fine of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand). Government also observes that the facts of the case justify reduction in the penalty imposed. The penalty imposed on the Applicant is therefore reduced from Rs. 7,500/- (Rupees Seven thousand Five hundred) to Rs 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand) under section 112(a) of the Customs Act,1962.

9. The impugned Order in Appeal stands modified to that extent. Revision application is partly allowed on above terms.

10. So, ordered.

Ashok Kumar Mehta
28/03/2018

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA)
Principal Commissioner & ex-officio
Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No. 160/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAI.

DATED 28-03-2018

To,

True Copy Attested

Shri Veeramani
C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate,
No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street,
Opp High Court, 2nd Floor,
Chennai 600 001.

Sankar Sam Munda
SANKAR SAM MUNDA
Asstt. Commissioner of Customs & C. Ex.

Copy to:

1. The Commissioner of Customs, Airport, Chennai.
2. Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai
3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
4. Guard File.
5. Spare Copy.

