



## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre – I, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/301/B/14-RA

Date of Issue 17.4.2018

ORDER NO. 171/2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED 11.04.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri. Mathar Mohammed Gani

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs(Airport), Chennai.

Subject: Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the

Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal C.Cus No. 1431/2014 dated 07.08.2014 passed by the Commissioner of

Customs (Appeals) Chennai.



## ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri. Mathar Mohammed Gani against the order no C.Cus No. 1431/2014 dated 07.08.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

- 2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant, an Indian national, had arrived at the Chennai International Airport on 11.12.2013. On persistent interrogation the Applicant revealed that he had 3 (three) gold bars concealed in a cavity made in three "Globe" locks, totally weighing 300 gms valued at 8,32,920/-. After due process of the law the Original Adjudicating Authority, vide his order 1318/2013 (AIR) dated 13.03.2014 absolutely confiscated the gold bars referred to above under section 111(d) and 111(l) of the Customs Act, 1962. A Penalty of Rs. 2,00,000/- under Section 112 (a) of the Customs Act, 1962 was also imposed on the Applicant.
- 3. Aggrieved by this order the Applicant filed an appeal with the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai, vide his Order in Appeal C. Cus. No. 1431/2014 dated 07.08.2014 rejected the Appeal.
- 4. The applicant has filed this Revision Application interalia on the grounds that;
  - 4.1. The order of the Commissioner (Appeals) is against law, weight of evidence and circumstances and probabilities of the case; Gold is not a prohibited item and according to the liberalized policy gold can be released on payment of redemption fine and penalty; the Applicant was not aware that it was an offence to bring gold without proper documents; the only allegation against him is that he did not declare the gold; he was all along under the control of the Customs officers at the red channel and had not crossed the green channel; the seized gold belongs to him and was purchased through his own earnings; CBEC circular 9/2001 gives specific directions stating that a declaration should not be left blank, if not filled in the Officer should help the passenger to fill in the declaration card, such an exercise was not conducted by the officers;
    - 4.2 It has also been pleaded that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has stated that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish

the person for violation of its provisions of the Customs Act1962; there is no provision in the Customs Act to confiscate absolutely, The Apex court in the case of Hargovind Dash vs Collector Of Customs 1992 (61) ELT 172 (SC) and several other cases has pronounced that the quasi judicial authorities should use the discretionary powers in a judicious and not an arbitrary manner; that there is no provision for absolute confiscation of the goods; the question of eligibility come only for availing concessional rate of duty alone, and if the passenger is not eligible he can import at baggage rate of duty.

- 4.3 The Revision Applicant cited various assorted judgments in support of re-export even when the gold was concealed and prayed for permission to re-export the gold on payment of nominal redemption fine and reduced personal penalty.
- 5. A personal hearing in the case was held on 07.03.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals where option for re-export of gold was allowed. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.
- 6. The Government has gone through the case records it is seen that the gold bars were concealed in a special cavity made in locks. In his statement he has admitted that the gold was ingeniously concealed with the intention to hoodwink the customs authorities. Government also notes that the gold bars were not declared by the Applicant. Filing of true and correct declaration under the Customs Act, 1962 is an absolute and strict obligation of any passenger as he was a frequent traveller and not an eligible passenger to import gold.
- 7. In his voluntary statement recorded after his interception the Applicant also revealed that he was offered a monetary consideration to conceal and carry the gold to India. There is no doubt about the fact that the Applicant has contravened the provisions of Customs Act, 1962. Therefore, the seized gold bars are liable for absolute confiscation under provisions of the Customs Act, 1962 as the applicant had deliberately concealed the seized gold to avoid detection and to dodge the Customs Officer and smuggle out the same without payment and payment of appropriate duty. This clearly indicates mensrea, and that the Applicant had no intention of declaring the gold to the authorities and if he was not intercepted before the exit, the Applicant would have taken out the gold bars without payment of customs duty. In view of the

above mentioned observations the Government is inclined to agree with the Order in Appeal and holds that the impugned gold has been rightly confiscated absolutely. Hence the Revision Application is liable to be rejected.

- 8. Taking into consideration the foregoing discussion, Government upholds the Order in Appeal No. 1431/2014 dated 07.08.2014
- 9. Revision Application is dismissed.
- 10. So, ordered.

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA)
Principal Commissioner & ex-officio
Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No. 171/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAJ

DATED 11.04.2018

To,

Shri Mathar Mohammed Gani C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street, Opp High court, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Chennai 600 001. True Copy Attested

SANKARSAN MUNDA Asstt. Commissioner of Custom & C. Ex.

## Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport, Chennai.
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Custom House, Chennai.
- 3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- 4. Guard File.
- 5. Spare Copy.

