REGISTERED SPEED POST



## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre - I, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/322/B/14-RA

Date of Issue 19.04.2018

ORDER NO.)90/2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED | 6.04.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri Kader Meera Amsath Khan

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs(Airport), Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the

Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal C.Cus No. 1273/2014 dated 28.07.2014 passed by the Commissioner of

Customs (Appeals) Chennai.



## ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri Kader Meera Amsath Khan (herein after referred to as the Applicant) against the order no C. Cus No. 1273/2014 dated 28.07.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

- 2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the applicant, arrived at the Chennai Airport on 08/09.12.2013 and was intercepted as he attempted to go through the Green Channel. Examination of his person resulted in the recovery of 2 (two) nos of Gold biscuits from his pant pockets totally weighing 200 gms valued at Rs. 5,99,800/- (Five Lacs Ninety nine thousand Eight hundred) hidden in his underwear. After due process of the law vide Order-In-Original No. 1430/2013- (AIR) dated 28.02.2014 Original Adjudicating Authority absolutely confiscated the gold jewelry under section 111 (d) (l) (m) and (o) of the Customs Act, 1962 read with section 3(3) Foreign Trade (D & R) Act, 1992. A penalty of Rs. 60,000/- was also imposed under Section 112 (a) of the Customs Act,1962.
- 3. Aggrieved by this order the Applicant filed an appeal with the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai, vide his Order in Appeal C.Cus No 1273/2014 dated 28.07.2014 rejected the Appeal.
- 4. The applicant has filed this Revision Application interalia on the grounds that;
  - 4.1 the order of the Commissioner (Appeals) is against law, weight of evidence and circumstances and probabilities of the case; Gold is not a prohibited item and can be released on payment of redemption fine and penalty; The Hon'ble Supreme Court in recent judgements has stated that the object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person who has violated the provisions of the Act; The only allegation is that he attempted to pass the green channel; he was all along the red Channel under the control of the officers and did not pass through the green channel; he is the owner of the gold and the same was purchased from his savings for his own use.
    - 4.2 The Applicant further pleaded that as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court has in the case of Om Prakash vs Union of India states that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person for infringement of its provisions; CBEC circular 9/2001 gives specific directions stating that a declaration should not be left blank, if not filled in the Officer should help the passenger to fill in the declaration card; In the liberalized policy goods cannot be prohibited just because it was not declared; The Apex court in

the case of Hargovind Dash vs Collector Of Customs 1992 (61) ELT 172 (SC) and several other cases has pronounced that the quasi judicial authorities should use the discretionary powers in a judicious and not an arbitrary manner; section 125 clearly states that goods can be released to the owner of the goods or from the person from whom the goods have been seized; the absolute confiscation of the gold and imposition of Rs. 60,000/- penalty is high and unreasonable.

- 4.4 The Revision Applicant cited various assorted judgments and boards policies in support of allowing gold for redemption under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962 and prayed for permission to re-export the gold on payment of nominal redemption fine and reduced personal penalty.
- 5. A personal hearing in the case was held on 07.03.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar attended the hearing he re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals where redemption for re-export of gold was allowed. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.
- 6. The Government has gone through the facts of the case. It is a fact that the gold bars were not declared by the Applicant as required under Section 77 of the Customs Act, 1962 and under the circumstances confiscation of the gold is justified.
- 7. However, the facts of the case state that the Applicant was intercepted before he exited the Green Channel. The gold is claimed by the Applicant and there is no other claimant. The gold was carried by the Applicant and not ingeniously concealed and the applicant was not involved in any such previous offence. The CBEC Circular 09/2001 gives specific directions to the Customs officer in case the declaration form is incomplete/not filled up, the proper Customs officer should help the passenger record to the oral declaration on the Disembarkation Card and only thereafter should countersign/stamp the same, after taking the passenger's signature. Thus, mere non-submission of the declaration cannot be held against the Applicant. There are a catena of judgments which align with the view that the discretionary powers vested with the lower authorities under section 125(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 have to be exercised. The absolute confiscation of the gold is therefore harsh and unjustified. In view of the above facts, the Government is of the opinion that a lenient view can be taken in the matter. The order of absolute confiscation of the gold lewelry in the impugned Order in Appeal therefore needs to be modified and the confiscated gold jewelry is liable to be allowed for re-export on payment of redemption fine and penalty.

- 8. Taking into consideration the foregoing discussion, Government allows redemption of the confiscated gold for re-export in lieu of fine. The gold weighing 200 gms valued at Rs. 5,99,800/- ( Five Lacs Ninety nine thousand Eight hundred ) is ordered to be redeemed for re-export on payment of redemption fine of Rs 3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lacs ) under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962. Government also observes that the facts of the case justify reduction in the penalty imposed. The penalty imposed on the Applicant is therefore reduced from Rs. 60,000/- (Rupees Sixty thousand) to Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand ) under section 112(a) of the Customs Act,1962.
- 9. The impugned Order in Appeal stands modified to that extent. Revision application is partly allowed on above terms

10. So, ordered.

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA)
Principal Commissioner & ex-officio
Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No. 90/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAL

DATED 16.04.2018

To,

Shri Kader Meera Amsath Khan C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street, Opp High court, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Chennai 600 001.

True Copy Attested

SANKARSAN MUNDA
Asstt. Commissioner of Custom & C. Ex.

## Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport, Chennai.
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Custom House, Chennai.
- 3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- 4. Guard File.
- 5. Spare Copy.

