REGISTERED SPEED POST



F.No. 371/39&40/B/16-RA/102

Date of Issue 06:01.2021

ORDER NO. /2020-Cus (WZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED/H.12.2020 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI SHRAWAN KUMAR, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant: Shri Zulfikar Abdul Rashid Khan.

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs, Mumbai.

Subject

: Revision Applications filed, under Section 129DD of the Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal No. MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/719-720 dated 17.03.2016 and Order-in-Appeal No. MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/715-15-16 dated 16.03.2016 both passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Mumbai -III.

7,

ORDER

These two Revision Applications have been filed by Shri Zulfikar Abdul Rashid Khan (hereinafter referred to as "the Applicant") against the Order-in-Appeal No. MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/719-720 dated 17.03.2016 and Order-in-Appeal No. MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/715-15-16 dated 16.03.2016 both passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Mumbai -III.

- 2. The issue briefly is, the Mumbai Zonal Unit of the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence (hereinafter referred as DRI) developed an intelligence that certain importers had been importing motorcycles (also known in commercial parlance as 'Super Bikes') of international brands such as Honda, Suzuki, Yamaha, Harley Davidson, Kawasaki, etc by first disassembling them abroad and importing the disassembled motorcycle in more than one consignment by declaring the goods as 'Parts of motorcycle'. After Customs clearance. importers re-assembled these parts again to form the complete motorcycle and sell the same to their customers. The importers were clearing these consignments of motorcycle parts on payment of Basic Custom Duty @ 7.5% to 10% ad valorem + other Customs duties on their individual values (of the parts), whereas the Customs duty on complete motorcycle was payable @ 100% Basic Customs duty ad valorem and other Customs duties, on full value. Intelligence also indicated that forged Bills of Entry were prepared showing import of fully assembled motorcycles for the purpose of registration of such re-assembled motorcycles and local taxes such as road tax, octroi, sales tax, etc. were also evaded. Intelligence further indicated that importers obtained photocopies of the passports of several needy persons, who were largely unaware of the misuse of their passport for paltry consideration and the same were then used for preparing forged Bills of Entry in the names of these persons.
- 3. The investigations conducted by DRI resulted in the seizure of two motorcycles, bearing registration No. MH-06-AP-7833 and MH02-BC-6300. The Applicant was the owner of the showroom, from which he negotiated and conducted the sale of the impugned motorcycles.

- 4. After due process of the law the original adjudicating authority vide its order nos. JC/R & I/ JS/21/2014-15 dated 24.07.2014 and JC/R & I/JS/01/2014-15 dated 30.04.2014 assessed the values of the motorcycles as complete motorcycles. The motorcycles was held liable for confiscation but were allowed redemption under section 125(1) of the Customs Act, 1962. Penalty of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand) and Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two lakhs) respectively were imposed on the Applicant vide the above two orders. Penalties as applicable were also imposed on all the other persons involved in the alleged import.
- 5. Aggrieved by this order the applicant filed an appeal with the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), the Commissioner (Appeals) vide his order No. MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/719-720 dated 17.03.2016 and MUM-CUSTM-PRV-APP/715-15-16 dated 16.03.2016 rejected the appeal of the Applicant.
- 6. Aggrieved with the above orders the Applicant, has filed these revision applications for setting aside the penalties imposed.
- 7. The Government has examined the matter and it is observed that it is not in dispute that the motorcycle parts were imported under cover of Bills of Entry which were filed under Section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962 for clearance of the same. Whereas, as per first proviso to Section 129A read with Section 129DD of Customs Act, 1962, a revision application can be filed before the Government against the order-in-appeal if it relates to the issue of baggage, drawback of duty and short landing of the goods. But no such issue is involved in the above mentioned order-in-appeal and the dispute is regarding import of the motorcycle parts as normal cargo. Therefore, the Government does not have jurisdiction to deal with these Revision Applications.
- 8. In view of above discussions, Government is of opinion that the issue involved in this case does not fall within the jurisdiction of this authority and hence, the issue is required to be agitated before proper legal forum, i.e.

Tribunal if the Applicant deems fit to do so. The revision application is thus not maintainable before this authority for want of jurisdiction in terms of Section 129A read with Section 129DD of the Customs Act, 1962.

9. The revision application, thus stands rejected as being non-maintainable for lack of jurisdiction.

SHRAWAN KUMAR)

Principal Commissioner & ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

209-210 ORDER No. /2020-CUS (WZ) /ASRA/

DATED\\-12.2020

To,

Shri Zulfikar Abdul Rashid Khan, 101, Moon Beam, Deccan CHS, Union Park, Khar (W), Mumbai - 400052.

Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, CSI Airport, Mumbai.
- 2 / Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- 3 Guard File.,