REGISTERED SPEED POST AD



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Office of the Principal Commissioner RA and Ex-Officio Additional Secretary to the Government of India

8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai- 400 005

F. No. 195/118/WZ/2018-RA / 22 | Date of Issue: $/ \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0$ | . 2023

ORDER NO. 2\ \(/2023-ST(WZ)/ASRA/MUMBAI DATED \\ \(\(\lambde \) \\ \(\lambde \) OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI SHRAWAN KUMAR, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 35EE OF THE CENTRAL EXCISE ACT, 1944.

Applicant: M/s. Havas Worldwide India Pvt. Ltd.

Respondent: The Pr. Commissioner of CGST & Central Tax, Mumbai

Central Commissionerate

Subject: Revision Application filed under Section 35EE of the Central Excise Act, 1944 against Order-in-Appeal No. PVNS/07/Appeals thane/MC/2018-19 dated 10.04.2018 passed by the Commissioner (Appeals), Thane.

ORDER

The revision application has been filed by M/s. Havas Worldwide India Pvt. Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as "the applicant") against Order-in-Appeal No. PVNS/07/Appealsthane/MC/2018-19 dated 10.04.2018 passed by the Commissioner (Appeals), Thane.

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, is that the applicant, which is a Private Limited Company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, exported the Advertising Services during the period from July, 2011 to April, 2012 under claim for rebate as per the provisions of Notification No. 11/2005 ST dated April 19, 2005 read with Rule 5 of Export of Service Rules, 2005 as amended. The rebate claim was filed on 11.05.2012. On perusal of the rebate claim, it was found that the claim was liable for rejection on the grounds viz. non submission of documents supporting the claim as required in the said notification, proof of service tax payment on input services claim not being filed within the time limit of one year. The adjudicating authority vide order dated 31.03.2013 rejected the refund claim. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid order-in-original the applicant filed appeal before the Commissioner who (Appeals), Thane., vide Order-in-Appeal No. PVNS/07/Appealsthane/MC/2018-19 dated 10.04.2018 rejected their appeal.
- 3. Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the impugned order in appeal, the applicant had filed this revision Application under Section 35EE of the Central Excise Act, 1944 before the Government.
- 4. Personal hearing in this case was fixed for 15.11.2022, Mr. Prakash Sajnani, and Mr. Sushanth Murthy, Advocate appeared online on behalf of the Applicant and submitted that their service was advertising service. They have fulfilled all conditions of export of service(like service recipient located outside India, Payment received in foreign exchange). They requested to allow their claim.
- 5. Government has carefully gone through the relevant case records, perused the impugned Order-in-Appeal, the Order-in-Original, the revision

application and the submissions filed by the applicant. It is observed that the dispute is regarding admissibility of rebate of service tax paid on output taxable service rendered by the applicant for which they have filed claim under Rule 5 of the Export of Services Rules, 2005 read with Notification No. 11/2005-ST dated 19.04.2005.

تستنة

6. Government observes that the Notification No. 11/2005-ST dated 19.04.2005 has been issued in exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 5 of the Export of Services Rules, 2005. The preamble of the notification is reproduced below for a better appreciation of its ambit.

"In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 5 of the Export of Service Rules, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as the said rules), insofar as it relates to export of taxable services to the countries other than Nepal and Bhutan, the Central Government hereby directs that there shall be granted rebate of the whole of the service tax and cess paid on all taxable services exported in terms of rule 3 of the said rules, to any country other than Nepal and Bhutan, subject to the conditions, limitations and procedures specified hereinafter, -"

It is clear from the text that the service tax and cess paid on the output services exported is rebated in terms of this notification.

7. The powers for revision under the statute are limited to certain matters. The powers of revision in the Central Excise Act, 1944 in Section 35EE of the Act are exercisable in cases where the order is of the nature referred to in the first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35B of the CEA, 1944. Amongst other matters which are covered by the powers of revision vested in the Central Government, the part relating to rebate mentioned in the first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35B of the CEA, 1944 specified orders relating to "a rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India or on excisable materials used in the manufacture of goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India". Therefore, the two types of rebate cases which were specified for exercise of revisionary powers vested in the Central Government under Section 35EE were rebate of duty paid on exported goods and rebate of duty paid on excisable

materials(inputs) used in the manufacture of exported goods. This proviso clearly does not mention rebate of service tax paid on taxable services which are exported.

7.1 Revision Applications in service tax matters are filed before the Central Government as per the provisions of Section 35EE of the CEA, 1944(made applicable to service tax matters by Section 83 of FA, 1994) in terms of the first proviso of sub-section (1) of Section 86 of the FA, 1994. The Section 86 specifies the orders which are to be appealed against before the Appellate Tribunal with a proviso for exceptions where revision application is to be preferred. The Section 86 of the FA, 1994 is reproduced below for the sake of lucidity.

"Section 86. Appeals to Appellate Tribunal. -

(1) Save as otherwise provided herein an assessee aggrieved by an order passed by a Principal Commissioner of Central Excise or Commissioner of Central Excise under section 73 or section 83A by a Commissioner of Central Excise(Appeals) under section 85, may appeal to the Appellate Tribunal against such order within three months of the date of receipt of the order.

Provided that where an order, relating to a service which is exported, has been passed under section 85 and the matter relates to grant of rebate of service tax on input services, or rebate of duty paid on inputs, used in providing such service, such order shall be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 35EE of the Central Excise Act, 1944(1 of 1944).

Provided further that all appeals filed before the Appellate Tribunal in respect of matters covered under the first proviso, after the coming into force of the Finance Act, 2012(23 of 2012), and pending before it up to the date on which the Finance Bill, 2015 receives the assent of the President, shall be transferred and dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 35EE of the Central Excise Act, 1944(1 of 1944)."

7.2 Sub-section (1) of Section 86 of the FA, 1994 stipulates that appeals against orders of Commissioner(Appeals) are to be filed before the Appellate Tribunal. However, a specific category has been carved out of these orders in the first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 86; viz. orders relating to grant of rebate of service tax on input services and rebate of duty paid on inputs where services have been exported are directed to be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of Section 35EE of the CEA, 1944.

Unmistakeably, the category of rebate of service tax paid on taxable service exported does not fall in the exception category and therefore the assesses aggrieved by these orders cannot obtain relief by filing revision applications under Section 35EE.

تعين

7.3 The Notification No. 11/2005-ST dated 19.04.2005 has been issued specifically for grant of rebate of service tax paid on taxable services which have been exported. Therefore, the remedy for an applicant who is aggrieved by an order passed by Commissioner(Appeals) involving Notification No. 11/2005-ST dated 19.04.2005 would lie before the Appellate Tribunal; i.e. the Hon'ble CESTAT. It is observed that this issue has been discussed by the Hon'ble CESTAT in Vodafone Mobile Services Ltd. vs. Commissioner of Service Tax, Pune[2016(45)STR 301(Tri-Mum)].

"5. I find that though as per the provision

8. Government concludes that since the present case involves rebate of service tax paid on taxable services which have been exported, the matter is beyond the scope of the revisionary powers vested in the Central

Government under Section 35EE of the CEA, 1944 read with the proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 86 of the FA, 1994. In the result, the revision application filed by the applicant is not maintainable under Section 35EE of the CEA, 1944.

9. This revision application filed by the applicant is dismissed as non-maintainable for lack of jurisdiction.

(SHRAWAN KUMAR)

Principal Commissioner & Ex-Officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No.

21/2023-ST (WZ) /ASRA/Mumbai DATED16.61-2-023

To,

- 1. M/s. Havas Worldwide India Pvt. Ltd., 24th Floor, Commerz II, Oberoi Garden City, Off Western Express Highway, Ghatkopar(E), Mumbai-400063.
- 2. The Commissioner of CGST & CX, Mumbai Central 115, GST Bhavan, M.K. Road, Churchgate, Mumbai-400020.

Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of CGST & Central Excise, Appeals Thane, 12th Floor, Lotus Info Centre, Near Parel Railway Station, Parel(E) Mumbai-400012.
- 2. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai
- 3. Guard file