373/36/B/14-RA <u>REGISTERED</u> SPEED POST



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre – I, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/36/B/14-RA

Date of Issue 29/05/2018

ORDER NO \Re /2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED 04.05.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri Pesala Sudhakar Reddy

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs(Airport), Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal C.Cus No. 10/2015 dated 12.01.2015 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai.



373/36/B/14-RA

ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri Pesala Sudhakar Reddy (herein after referred to as the Applicant) against the order no C. Cus No. 10/2015 dated 12.01.2015 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case is that the applicant, arrived at the Chennai Airport on 28.11.2014. He was intercepted by the officers of the Customs as he was walking through the green channel without declaration. Examination of his baggage resulted in the recovery of 4 (four) gold bars totally weighing 100 gms totally valued at Rs. 2,36,628/- (Rupees Two lacs Thirty Six thousand Six hundred and Twenty Eight). The gold was ingeniously concealed in "Johnson" Baby powder container.

3. The Original Adjudicating Authority vide Order-In-Original No. 1456/2014 – Batch A dated 28.11.2014 ordered for absolute confiscation of the impugned gold under Section 111 (d), and (l) of the Customs Act read with Section 3 (3) of Foreign Trade (Development & Regulation) Act and imposed penalty of Rs. 24,000/- under Section 112 (a) of the Customs Act.

4. Aggrieved by the said order, the applicant filed appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) who vide Order-In-Appeal No. C. Cus No. 10/2015 dated 12.01.2015 rejected the appeal of the applicant.

5. The applicant has filed this Revision Application interalia on the following grounds that;

5.1. The order of the Commissioner (Appeals) is against law, weight of evidence and circumstances and probabilities of the case; he did not admittedly pass through the green channel. He was all along at the red channel under the control of the officers; He had orally declared the gold and was willing to pay duty but the officers detained the goods for adjudication; He had claimed the gold and also stated his ownership; The Appellate Authority has not applied his mind and glossed over the judgments and points raised in the Appeal grounds; As he had stayed abroad for 709 days he is eligible to bring gold at concessional rate; Being an eligible passenger the adjudication authority should have allowed re-export of release the gold at concessional rate of duty;



5.2 The Applicant further submitted that The CBEC Circular 09/2001 gives specific directions to the Customs officer in case the declaration form is incomplete/not filled, but it was not such an exercise was not done by the officers; The Hon'ble Supreme Court has in the case of Om Prakash vs Union of India states that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person for infringement of its provisions;

, 29

5.3 The Revision Applicant cited various assorted judgments in support of re-export even when the gold was concealed and prayed for setting aside the impugned order and permission to re-export the gold on payment of nominal redemption fine and reduced personal penalty.

6. A personal hearing in the case was held on 19.04.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals where option for re-export of gold was allowed. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.

7. The Government has gone through the case records it is observed that the Applicant did not declare the gold at the time of interception. The gold was ingeniously concealed in "Johnson" Baby powder container. There is absolutely no doubt that the concealment was planned so as to evade Customs duty and to smuggle the gold into India. The aspect of allowing the gold for re-export can be considered when imports have been made in a legal manner and properly declared as per Section 77 of the Customs Act, 1962. The elaborate methods taken to hide the gold indicate that the Applicant had no intention of declaring the gold to the authorities and if he was not intercepted before the exit, the Applicant would have taken out the gold without payment of customs duty.

8. The above acts have therefore rendered the 100 gms seized gold liable for confiscation under Section 111 of Customs Act, 1962 and the Applicant liable for penal action under section 112 (a) of the Customs Act, 1962. The Government therefore holds that the Original Adjudicating Authority has rightly confiscated the gold absolutely and imposed a penalty of Rs. 24,000/-. In view of the above discussions, the Government also holds that Commissioner (Appeals) and the above of the original adjudicating authority.

m



9. The Government therefore finds the Appellate order C. Cus. No. 10/2015 dated 12.01.2015 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) as legal and proper does not warrant any interference.

10. Revision Application is dismissed.

11. So, ordered.

Jue 1.6. 4.3.211

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA) Principal Commissioner & ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No.29/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/NUMBAL

DATED 04 05.2018

To,

Shri Pesala Sudhakar Reddy C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street, Opp High court, 2nd Floor, Chennai 600 001.

Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport, Chennai.
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Custom House, Chennai.
- 3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- A. Guard File.
- 5. Spare Copy.

True Copy Attested

29/17/18

SANKARSAN MUNDA Acst. Commissioner of Custom & C. Er.



