



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre – I, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/223/B/14-RA

٠,٤

Date of Issue 29 05 2018

ORDER NO. 29/2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED 04.05.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri Guggulla Babu

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs(Airport), Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the

Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal C.Cus

No. 224/2015 dated 28.05.2015 passed by the

Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai.



ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri Guggulla Raghava (herein after referred to as the Applicant) against the order no C. Cus No. 224/2015 dated 28.05.2015 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

- 2. Briefly stated the facts of the case is that the applicant, arrived at the Chennai Airport on 18.09.2014. He was intercepted by the officers of the Customs as he was walking through the green channel without declaration. Examination of his baggage resulted in the recovery of 6 pieces of gold pieces totally weighing 399.4 gms valued at Rs. 10,89,563/- (Rupees Ten lacs Eighty Nine thousand Five hundred and Sixty three). These gold pieces were wrapped in black adhesive tapes and carbon paper and concealed in the hollow of a "Dolphin hand massager".
- 3. The Original Adjudicating Authority vide Order-In-Original No. 1176/2014 AIU dated 23.02.2015 ordered for absolute confiscation of the impugned gold under Section 111 (d), and (l) of the Customs Act read with Section 3 (3) of Foreign Trade (Development & Regulation) Act and imposed penalty of Rs. 1,00,000/- under Section 112 (a) of the Customs Act.
- 4. Aggrieved by the said order, the applicant filed appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) who vide Order-In-Appeal No. C.Cus No. 224/2015 dated 28.05.2015 rejected the appeal of the applicant.
- 5. The applicant has filed this Revision Application interalia on the following grounds that;
- 5.1. The order of the Commissioner (Appeals) is against law, weight of evidence and circumstances and probabilities of the case; The Appellate Authority has not applied his mind and glossed over the judgments and points raised in the Appeal grounds; There is no rival claimant for the gold; he did not admittedly pass through the green channel, He was all along at the red channel under the control of the officers; As he had stayed abroad for more than 5 years he is eligible to bring gold at concessional rate; Under Section 125 does not make any distinction between the owner and carrier of the goods; Being an eligible passenger the adjudication authority should have allowed re-export of release the gold at concessional rate of duty:



- 5.2 The Applicant further submitted that The Hon'ble Supreme Court has in the case of Om Prakash vs Union of India states that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person for infringement of its provisions; The CBEC Circular 09/2001 gives specific directions to the Customs officer in case the declaration form is incomplete/not filled;
- 5.3 The Revision Applicant cited various assorted judgments in support of re-export even when the gold was concealed and prayed for setting aside the impugned order and permission to re-export the gold on payment of nominal redemption fine and reduced personal penalty.
- 6. A personal hearing in the case was held on 19.04.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals where option for re-export of gold was allowed. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.
- 7. The Government has gone through the case records it is observed that the Applicant was given enough opportunities to declare the gold, he however did not declare the gold pieces at the time of interception. The gold pieces were ingeniously concealed in the lining of the clothes carried by the Applicant. There is absolutely no doubt that the concealment was intelligently planned so as to evade Customs duty and to smuggle the gold into India. The aspect of allowing the gold for reexport can be considered when imports have been made in a legal manner and properly declared as per Section 77 of the Customs Act, 1962. The actions of the Applicant and in the substitute that the data had no intention of declaring the gold to the authorities and if he was not intercepted before the exit, the Applicant would have taken out the gold pieces without payment of customs duty.
- 8. The above acts have therefore rendered 399.4 gms of seized gold liable for confiscation under Section 111 of Customs Act, 1962 and the Applicant liable for penal action under section 112 (a) of the Customs Act, 1962. The Government therefore holds that the Original Adjudicating Authority has rightly confiscated the gold absolutely and imposed a penalty of Rs. 1,00,000/-. In view of the above discussion, the Government also holds that Commissioner (Appeals) has rightly upheld the order of the original adjudicating authority.

an

- 9. The Government therefore finds the Appellate order C. Cus. No. 224/2015 dated 28.05.2015 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) as legal and proper does not warrant any interference.
- 10. Revision Application is dismissed.
- 11. So, ordered.

4.5.10/V

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA)
Principal Commissioner & ex-officio
Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No. 193/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MWMBAL

DATED 04-05.2018

To,

Shri Guggulla Babu C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street, Opp High court, 2nd Floor, Chennai 600 001.

Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport, Chennai.
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Custom House, Chennai.
- 3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- 4. Guard File.

5. Spare Copy.

True Copy Attested

SANKARSAN MUNDA

Assil Commissioner of Criston & C. El

