373/23/B/15-RA





GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre – I, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/23/B/15-RA

Date of Issue 28 06 2018

ORDER NO.344/2018-CUS (SZ)/ASRA/MUMBAI DATED 14.05.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri. Basith Ibrahim

Respondent : Commissioner of Customs, Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the
Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal No. C. Cus No.
978/2014 dated 19.06.2014 passed by the Commissioner of
Customs (Appeals), Chennai.



<u>ORDER</u>

This revision application has been filed by Shri Basith Ibrahim (herein referred to as Applicant) against the order C. Cus-I no 978/2014 dated 19.06.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant, an Indian National was going abroad from Chennai International Airport on 02.03.2014. Indian currency amounting to Rs. 2,70,000/- was recovered from him by the Customs officers. As the impugned currency was meant for illegal export The currency was confiscated absolutely by the original Adjudication Authority vide order 224/ AIU C dated 02.03.2014 under section 113 (d), (e), (h) and (i) of the Customs Act, 1962 read with FEMA 1999, FEMA (Exp and Imp of Currency, 2000). A penalty of Rs. 27,000/- was also imposed on the Applicant under section 112 (a) of the Customs Act, 1962.

3. Aggrieved by this order the Applicant filed an appeal with the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai, vide his order C.Cus-I No. 978/2014 dated 19.06.2014 rejected the Appeal of the Applicant.

4. Aggrieved by the order of the Commissioner (Appeals), the Applicant has filed the Revision Application on the grounds that;

Eather And Bloom

not know the procedure and also unaware that the currency could not be taken out of India;

4.2 It has also been pleaded that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has state that the main object of the Customs Authority is to collect the duty and not to punish the person for infringement of its provisions.; The Apex court in the case of Hargovind Dash vs Collector Of Customs 1992 (61) ELT 172 (SC) and several other cases has pronounced that the quasi judicial authorities should use the discretionary powers in a judicious and not an arbitrary manner; In a reported in 2012 (276) ELT 129 (GOI) in re Chellani Mukesh and in the case of Keetheswari 373/46/B/11 04.05.2012 the hon'ble Revisional Authority has stated absolute confiscation is very harsh and granted the option to redeem the confiscated currency.

4.3 The Applicant cited various assorted judgments in support of his case and prayed that the Hon'ble Revision Authority may please release the Indian currency and duce the redemption fine and personal penalty and thus render justice.

5. A personal hearing in the case was held on 19.04.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri Palanikumar attended the hearing he re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals in support of his case. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.

6. The Government has gone through the facts of the case. The Applicant was carrying Indian currency beyond permissible limits. He was not having any documentary support or any specific permission for the same and therefore confiscation of the currency is justified. However, the facts should be seen in its entirety. The Applicant was not questioned whether he was carrying currency, the facts of the case also do not allege that the Applicant was searched, it appears that the Applicant voluntarily disclosed he was carrying Indian Currency. If he had not disclosed the same he could have walked off without being discovered by the Customs officers. Under this background the absolute confiscation is not justified.

7. There are numerous judgments wherein currencies have been released on payment of redemption fine and penalties. Further, the discretionary powers vested with the lower authorities under section 125(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 have to be exercised. Government also observes that there were no allegations of ingenious concealment of the currency, and neither was there a concerted attempt at smuggling the currency of the currency are not allegations of ingenious concealment of the currency.

an

Page

Mumbei मृत्रई of India. Government therefore holds that absolute confiscation of the Indian currency of Rs. 2,70,000/- (Rupees Two lacs Seventy thousand) currency is harsh and not commensurate with the facts and circumstances of the case and the applicant can be treated with a lenient view. Government therefore observes that the Order in Appeal needs to be modified and the absolute confiscation of Indian currency is liable to be set aside.

8. Taking into consideration the foregoing discussion, Government, sets aside the absolute confiscation and allows redemption of the confiscated currency in lieu of fine. Hence, Government allows the impugned Indian currency of Rs. 2,70,000/- (Rupees Two lacs Seventy thousand) to be released on payment of redemption fine of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh). Government also observes that facts of the case justify reduction of the penalty imposed. The penalty imposed on the Applicant is therefore reduced from Rs. 27,000/- (Rupees Twenty Seven thousand) to Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) under section 112(a) of the Customs Act,1962.

9. The impugned Order in Appeal is modified as detailed above. Revision Application is partly allowed.

8. So, ordered.

Jurchila

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA) Principal Commissioner & ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No344/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAT

DATED 14.05.2018

True Copy Attested

To,

Shri Basith Ibrahim C/o Shri S. Palinikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sukurama Street, Second Floor, Chennai -600 001.

Copy to:

एस. आर. हिरूलकर S. R. HIRULKAR

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Chennai-I.
- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals-I), Chennai.
- 3, Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- Guard File.
- 5. Spare Copy.

