

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE) 8th Floor, World Trade Centre, Centre - 1, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400 005

F.No. 373/430/B/14-RA

Date of Issue 2) 11 2010

ORDER NO. 2018-CUS (SZ) / ASRA / MUMBAI/ DATED 25.09.2018 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PASSED BY SHRI ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA, PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER & EX-OFFICIO ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, UNDER SECTION 129DD OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962.

Applicant : Shri Hayath Badhusha Haja Amarudeen

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs, Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the

Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal No. C. Cus-I No. 1670/2014 dated 11.09.2014 passed by the

Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.



ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri Hayath Badhusha Haja Amarudeen (herein referred to as Applicant) against the Order in Appeal C. Cus No. 1670/2014 dated 11.09.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals), Chennai.

- 2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the applicant, arrived at the Chennai Airport on 08.07.2014. He was intercepted and examination of his person resulted in the recovery of one Sony handy camera valued at Rs. 1,25,000/- (One lakh Twenty Five thousand) and four gold chains and two gold dollars with pendant weighing 181 gms valued at Rs. 4,56,301/- (Rupees Four lakhs Fifty six thousand Three Hundred and one). The gold was recovered from the pockets of the pants worn by the Applicant.
- 3. After due process of the law vide Order-In-Original No. 81/29.01.2014 the Original Adjudicating Authority ordered absolute confiscation of the gold and the Sony handy camera under Section 111 (d) and e, (l), (m) of the Customs Act read with Section 3 (3) of Foreign Trade (Development & Regulation) Act, and imposed penalty of Rs. 50,000/- under Section 112 (a) of the Customs Act, 1962.
- Aggrieved by the said order, the applicant filed appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) application who vide Order-In-Appeal C. Cus No. 1670/2014 dated 11.09.2014 rejected the appeal of the Applicant.
- The applicant has filed this Revision Application interalia on the following grounds that
 - 5.1 The order of the authorities are against the law weight of evidence and probabilities of the case; The Commissioner (Appeals) has erred in upholding the absolute confiscation of the gold and camera; The Applicant had not carried the goods for another person and had come to India from Singapore to visit his ailing mother; The absolute confiscation is uncalled for and unwarranted in the facts and circumstances of the case; absolute confiscation is attracted only in cases of smuggling; The Sony handy camera cannot be concealed and the gold was kept in the Applicants pant pockets; The Applicant never crossed the

Green channel; The Applicant has been staying in Singapore for the past 7 years; The gold being personal should have been released on redemption fine and penalty; If the gold chain is confiscated the Applicant will be put at a very irreparable loss and hardship; The Applicant may be allowed to take the gold and camera back to Singapore and the gold may be allowed to be re-exported.

5.2 The Revision Applicant prayed for setting aside the Order in Appeal and release of the gold without redemption fine and penalty or pass such order as deem fit in the interest of justice.

- 5. A personal hearing in the case was scheduled to be held on 28.09.2018. Kumar Shri Abdul Nazeer, Advocate for the Applicant attended the hearing, he re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and pleaded for re-export and cited the decisions of GOI/Tribunals and requested for a lenient view to be taken in the matter. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.
- 6. The Government has gone through the facts of the case. A proper written declaration of gold was not made by the Applicant as required under Section 77 of the Customs Act, 1962 and under the circumstances confiscation of the gold is justified.
- 7. However, the facts of the case state that the Applicant had not cleared the Green Channel. The impugned gold was carried by the applicant in his pant pockets and it was not indigenously concealed. Import of gold is restricted not prohibited. The CBEC Circular 09/2001 gives specific directions to the Customs officer in case the declaration form is incomplete/not filled up, the proper Customs officer should help the passenger record to the oral declaration on the Disembarkation Card and only thereafter should countersign/stamp the same, after taking the passenger's signature. Thus, mere non-submission of the declaration cannot be held against the Applicant.
- 8. There are a catena of judgments which align with the view that the discretionary powers vested with the lower authorities under section 125(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 have to be exercised. In view of the above facts, the Government is of the opinion that absolute confiscation of the gold is harsh and unjustified and therefore a lenient.

Page 3 of 4

view can be taken in the matter. The Applicant has pleaded for redemption of the gold 373/430/B/14-RA and Sony Handy Camera on payment of redemption fine and penalty for re-export and the Government is inclined to accept the plea. The impugned Order in Appeal therefore needs to be set aside.

The Government sets aside the absolute confiscation of the gold and Sony handy Camera. The impugned gold weighing 181 gms valued at Rs. 4,56,301/-(Rupees Four lakhs Fifty six thousand Three Hundred and one) and Sony handy Camera valued at Rs. 1,25,000/- (One lakh Twenty Five thousand) is allowed to be redeemed for re-export on payment of redemption fine of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs) under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962. Government also observes that the facts of the case justify reduction in the penalty imposed. The penalty imposed on the Applicant is therefore reduced from Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand) to Rs. 40,000/- (Rupces Forty thousand) under section 112(a) of the Customs Act, 1962.

So, ordered.

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA) Principal Commissioner & ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No. 845/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAL

DATED # 09.2018

. HOLLEANAGA

To.

Shri Hayath Badhusha Haja Amarudeen c/o Shri Abdul Nazeer Advocate. 65, Baracah Road, Varadamma Garden Street, Kilpauk. Chennai - 500 010.

Copy to:

- 1. The Commissioner of Customs, Anna International Airport, Chennai. 2.
- The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals-I), Custom House, Chennai. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai. 3.
- Guard File.
- Spare Copy.



Page 4 of 4