

Applicant : Shri Ayubkhan

2

£,

Respondent: Commissioner of Customs, (Airport), Chennai.

Subject : Revision Application filed, under Section 129DD of the Customs Act, 1962 against the Order-in-Appeal C. Cus I No. 205-206/2017 dated 27.12.2017 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals-I), Chennai.



ORDER

This revision application has been filed by Shri-Ayubkhan (herein referred to as Applicant) against the order C. Cus I No. 205-206/2017 dated 27.12.2017 passed by the Commissioner of Customs (Appeals-I), Chennai.

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the applicant, was bound for Singapore and was intercepted at the Chennai Airport on 27.07.2017. Examination of his baggage and person resulted in the recovery of 06 notes of Euros of 500 denomination each totally equivalent to Rs. 2,18,550/- and 49 notes of denomination 2000 each of Indian currency, both totally valued at (Rupees 3,16,550/- (Rupees Three lakhs Sixteen thousand Five hundred Fifty) kept in his pant pockets.

3. After due process of the law vide Order-In-Original No. 409/2017-18-AIRPORT dated 21.09.2017 the Original Adjudicating Authority ordered release of Rs. 25,000/- being eligible by provision of RBI notification No. FEMA 6@/RB-2015 dated 29.12.2015 and ordered absolute confiscation of the rest of the currency under Section 113 (d) & (e) of the Customs Act.1962 read with Foreign Exchange Management (Export and Import of currency) Regulations, 2015 and imposed a penalty of Rs. 30,000/- under Section 114 of the Customs Act, 1962. Aggrieved by the said order, the applicant filed appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) who vide Order-In-Appeal C. Cus I No. 205-206/2017 dated 27.12.2017 rejected the appeal of the applicant.

4. Aggrieved with the above order the Applicant has filed this revision application interalia on the grounds that;

the order of the Commissioner (Appeals) is against law, weight of 4.1 evidence and circumstances and probabilities of the case; The Applicant statements was recorded and signatures obtained but copies of the same was not given to the Applicant; that in a reported judgement 2012 (276) ELT 129 (GOI) in the case of Chellani Mukesh the Hon'ble Revisionary Authority had set aside absolute confiscation and allowed redemption of the of the same under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962; Currency is considered as goods as under section 2(22) of the Customs Act, 1962 and the same, is neither dutiable nor prohibited, Goods Rust be prohibited before import or export simply because of non declarations goods cannot become prohibited., The Adjudication authority has no exercised his option Page 2 of 5 Moments नुंचई

373/64/8/2018-RA

under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962; The Applicant has retracted the statements given earlier; There is no contumacious conduct on part of the Applicant but a conduct of a person who is ignorant of the law; The averments that he received currency from some unknown sources is based on non existent material and also amounts to extraneous consideration; Even assuming without admitting the act of the Applicant is only a violation of the Reserve Bank rules; There is no requirement under the said Act to declare currency less than \$10,000/- and the seized currency is in permissible limits; the Apex court in the case of Hargovind Das vs Collector Of Customs 1992 (61) ELT 172 (SC) and several other cases has pronounced that the quasi judicial authorities should use the discretionary powers in a judicious and not an arbitrary manner and option to allow redemption is mandatory; The Applicant further in the case of Keetheswari 373/46/B/11 04.05.2012 the hon'ble Revisional Authority has stated absolute confiscation is very harsh and granted the option to redeem the confiscated currency.

40

5.3 The Revision Applicant cited various other assorted judgments and boards policies in support of his case and prayed for quashing the impugned order in Appeal with consequential benefits by means of redemption fine and reduce the personal penalty and thus render justice.

6. A personal hearing in the case was held on 25.09.2018, the Advocate for the respondent Shri S. Palanikumar attended the hearing he re-iterated the submissions filed in Revision Application and pleaded for release of the currency on reduced redemption fine and penalty. Nobody from the department attended the personal hearing.

7. The Government has gone through the case records it is observed that the Applicant had kept the currency in his pant pockets and did not declare the same and therefore confiscation of the same is justified. However, the facts of the case state that the Applicant has not been involved in such offences earlier. The currency was not indigenously concealed. There is also no requirement to declare recurrency below \$10,000, and taking of currency abroad is restricted and prohibited. Absolute confiscation is therefore a harsh option, and units finable. There are a catena of judgments which align with the view that the discretional powers vested with the lower authorities under section 125(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 have to be exercised. The Applicant has pleaded for release of the currency

Page 3 of 5

on redemption fine and penalty and the Government is inclined to accept the plea. The impugned Order in Appeal therefore needs to be modified and the currency is liable to be allowed on payment of redemption fine and penalty.

8. In view of the above, Government allows redemption of the confiscated currency in lieu of fine. The impugned currency totally valued at Rs. 3,16,550/- (Rupees Three lakhs Sixteen thousand Five hundred and fifty) is ordered to be redeemed on payment of redemption fine of Rs. 1,25,000/- (Rupees One lakh Twenty Five thousand) under section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962. Government also observes that the facts of the case justify reduction in the penalty imposed. The penalty imposed on the Applicant is therefore reduced from Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) to Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand) under section 112(a) of the Customs Act, 1962.

9. The impugned Order in Appeal is modified as detailed above. Revision application is partly allowed on above terms.

10. So, ordered.

(ASHOK KUMAR MEHTA) Principal Commissioner & ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government of India

ORDER No.940/2018-CUS (SZ) /ASRA/MUMBAI.

DATED 22.10.2018

To,

Shri Ayubkhan C/o S. Palanikumar, Advocate, No. 10, Sunkurama Chetty Street, Opp High court, 2nd Floor, Chennai - 600 001.

ATTESTED

B. LOKANATHA REDDY Deputy Commissioner (R.A.)

Copy to:

1. The Commissioner of Customs, Chennai

- 2. The Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) Chennai
- 3. Sr. P.S. to AS (RA), Mumbai.
- 4. Guard File.
 - 5: Spare Copy.

